

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY  
ATTEST

By Darion Payne on Apr 27, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Apr 12, 2010

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: VERIZON WIRELESS DATA  
CHARGES LITIGATION

MDL No. 2141

C 09-6102 WHA  
(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**FILED**

JUN 24 2010

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-1) **RICHARD W. WIEKING**  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

On April 2, 2010, the Panel transferred one civil action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* F.Supp.2d. (J.P.M.L. 2010). With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of New Jersey and assigned to Judge Wolfson.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of New Jersey for the reasons stated in the order of April 2, 2010, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.


**Apr 27, 2010**

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and  
foregoing is a true and correct copy  
of the original on file in my office.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
By  Clerk  
Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: VERIZON WIRELESS DATA  
CHARGES LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2141

**SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST.</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	10-474	Joe Bauman, et al. v. Cellco Partnership, et al.
CAC	2	10-1908	David Kuba, et al. v. Cellco Partnership
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	09-6102	Melisa Whippo Neta v. Cellco Partnership
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN			
CAS	3	09-2846	Diana Houck v. Cellco Partnership, et al.
CAS	3	10-207	Kristen Rogers v. Cellco Partnership
CAS	3	10-487	Miles Wallis v. Cellco Partnership
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	1	10-20908	Michelle Smith v. Cellco Partnership
NEW YORK NORTHERN			
NYN	3	10-319	Lawrence Smith v. Cellco Partnership
NYN	5	10-93	Penelope Wayne v. Cellco Partnership
RHODE ISLAND			
RI	1	10-122	Brent Savage v. Cellco Partnership
SOUTH CAROLINA			
SC	2	10-769	Joseph Pellitteri, et al. v. Cellco Partnership
UTAH			
UT	2	10-243	Preston Snider v. Cellco Partnership
VIRGINIA EASTERN			
VAE	1	10-298	Dennis Addair v. Cellco Partnership
WASHINGTON WESTERN			
WAW	2	09-1865	Carolyn Alcorn v. Cellco Partnership
WAW	2	10-449	Glenn M. Kempf, et al. v. Cellco Partnership